## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

*	STATES DISTRICT COURT  N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  LAND DIVISION  OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
United	STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKI	
United States of America,	) Case No. 19-0468 J STND OF CALCULAR
Plaintiff,	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
Lodewith Maggay,  Defendant(s).	) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Roderich Maggay,	
Defendant(s).	)
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{10/2t/19}{}$ , the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $\frac{10/2t/19}{}$ to $\frac{10/2t/19}{}$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ald be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	lex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of e prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ald deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time a, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the c paragraph and — based on the par the time limits for a preliminary he extending the 30-day time period to	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending earing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the ed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 10/20/19	DONNA M. RYU
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	Muital
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney